	Case 3:11-cr-00317-WHA Document 6 Filed 06/29/11 Page 1 of 3
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 11-0317 WHA
14	Plaintiff,)
15	v.) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18
16	MIGUEL ANGEL PEREZ RODRIGUEZ,) U.S.C. § 3161
17	Defendant.
18	
19	On June 21, 2011, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the
20	Court continued the matter to July 5, 2011. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time
21	between June 21, 2011, and July 5, 2011, from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. §
22	3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time
23	necessary for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties
24 25	also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
26	///
27	
28	
20	
	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 11-0317 WHA

Case 3:11-cr-00317-WHA Document 6 Filed 06/29/11 Page 2 of 3

1	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this
2	agreement.
3	SO STIPULATED:
4	MELINDA HAAC
5	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
6	
7	DATED: June 28, 2011 /s/ LOWELL C. POWELL
8	Special Assistant United States Attorney
9	
10	DATED: June 28, 2011 /s/
11	RITA BOSWORTH Attorney for MIGUEL ANGEL PEREZ RODRIGUEZ
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 11-0317 WHA

PROPOSED ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the June 21, 2011 hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from June 21, 2011 through July 5, 2011 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 29, 2011.

ORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP United States District Judge

STIPULATION & TROPOSED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 11-0317 WHA